FILED IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTES OF FICE 1 FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS
2012 MAY 29 2 3 4 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, EX REL. 11-CV-11458-NMG JAMES M. ATKINSON FILED UNDER SEAL Plaintiffs, NOTICE OF APPEAL v. RESEARCH ELECTRONICS INTERNATIONAL, LLC, et al., Defendants. 5 Notice is hereby given that Plaintiff James M. Atkinson hereby appeals to 6 the United States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit from the Ex Parte 7 Communications, Biased Actions, Improper Orders, and Improper Dismissal 8 issued by the District Court dismissing Plaintiffs' as a QiTam Realtor case 9 and otherwise obstructing or depriving Plaintiff of his rights, entered in these 10 actions on the 26th day of April, 2012, and the: 11 12 1. Order, Document 7: granting re: Document 6: "United States of 13 America's Notice of Election to Decline Intervention" dated 14 United States of America, ex rel v. Research Electronics, et al 11cv11458-NMG Notice of Appeal Page 1 of 10

15	4/25/2012.
16	
17	2. On April 26, 2012, the District Court issued an order Document 7:
18	granting re: Document 6: that the above captioned case be dismissed
19	at the request of the government.
20	
21	1. It is obvious that the court did not actually prepare the order, and that
22	this order was prepared by the government.
23	
24	2. The order references 31 USC 3730(b)(4)(B) which clearly does not
25	permit a case to be dismissed merely because the government decided
26	not to intervene at the time.
27	
28	3. The case in question also included claims made outside of the FCA to
29	include:
30	a. 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729–3733
31	b. 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961-1968
32	c. 15 U.S.C. §§ 1-38
33	d. 22 U.S.C. §§ 2778-2780
34	e. 22 CFR §§ 120-130
	United States of America, ex rel v. Research Electronics, et al 11cv11458-NMG

35	f. 50 U.S.C. § 2410
36	g. 22 U.S.C. § 401
37	h. 47 U.S.C. §§ 2.1-1305
38	i. 18 U.S.C. § 2512
39	j. 19 U.S.C. §§ 1709-3808
40	k. 18 U.S.C. § 554
41	1. 18 U.S.C. § 371
42	m. 18 U.S.C. § 1001
43	n. 18 U.S.C. § 1031
44	o. 18 U.S.C. § 1341
45	p. 18 U.S.C. § 1343
46	q. 18 U.S.C. § 1956
47	r. 18 U.S.C. § 1510 – 1513
48	s. 18 U.S.C. § 962
49	
50	4. Indeed 31 USC 3730(b)(4)(B) clearly states that the person who is
51	bringing the case has a right to conduct the action should the
52	government decline to do so.
53 54	"(4) Before the expiration of the 60-day period or any extensions obtained under paragraph (3), the Government shall—
	United States of America, ex rel v. Research Electronics, et al 11cv11458-NMG

Notice of Appeal

55 (A) proceed with the action, in which case the action shall be conducted by the Government; or 56 (B) notify the court that it declines to take over the action, in 57 which case the person bringing the action shall have the 58 right to conduct the action." 59 60 61 5. The statute is clear that the **person** bringing the action has the right 62 to conduct the action, and the 31 USC 3730(b)(4)(B) is moot as to any 63 restriction of if a *Pro Se* Plaintiff may or may not bring suit. 64 65 6. As a private person may bring suit in a civil rights case, and may act 66 as a private attorney general under Section 1983 and 1985, so may a 67 private citizen suit in matters of National Defense, Arms, Smuggling, 68 69 and Sophisticated Fraud Against the government as on behalf of the 70 government. 71 7. In truth, the United States of America is indeed prosecuting this case, 72 and their notice of election to decline intervention filed with the court 73 74 is a fiction upon the court and the case has been moved to other jurisdictions, and is active. 75 76

77	8. The actions by the government are merely to deprive Realtor of his
78	legitimate claim which predates all other claims, and all other actions
79	by the government, and thus to deprive him of his lawful share of the
80	recovery.
81	
82	9. As it is improper, and a violation of law for this court to order a
83	dismissal of this action based on 31 USC 3730(b)(4)(B), a serious
84	reversible error has been committed by this court.
85	
86	10.Indeed the QiTam complaint in this case is not merely about False
87	Claims, but also about complex, illegal arm smuggling, RICO
88	violations, national security controls, violations of international
89	treaties and other very grave matter.
90	
91	11.Additionally, there has been not less then two known, and possibly
92	three prohibited ex parte communications between this court and the
93	government in this case, an act which suggests bias on the part of the
94	court, and misconduct on the part of the Government.
95	

96	12. Further this court automatically ordered extensions, without providing
97	the realtor to question, oppose, or object to same, demonstrating a
98	continued bias against the Realtor Atkinson.
99	
100	13. Additionally, extensive documentation has been provided to the
101	government in this matter, but not yet filed with this court
102	
103	14. These document provide a <i>prima facia</i> case that the government has
104	been defrauded and that national security control and international
105	treaties have been subverted.
106	
107	15. These documents also suggests that the U.S. Government has been
108	malfeasant in the enforcing of international arm controls treaties as
109	well as ITAR regulations.
110	
111	16. However, this matter is "Diplomatically Awkward" for the United
112	States as the Plaintiff has caught the U.S. State Government defacto
113	violating a major international Arm Control treaty.
114	
	United States of America, ex rel v. Research Electronics, et al 11cv11458-NMG

115	17.In fact government investigators described the realtors documents as a
116	"treasure trove" this suggests tens of millions and potentially billions
117	of dollars of fraud against the U.S. Government.
118	
119	18.It is an error by the court to dismiss this case, and such a dismissal is a
120	violation of a rights granted under 31 USC 3730(b)(4)(B).
121	
122	19. Thus the order dated April 26, 2012 must be reversed.
123	
124	20. Bias and favoritism has been demonstrated by the District Court towards
125	the Government, by which three orders were issued by the court the day
126	after then were filed with the court, and well before the original documents
127	were in the hands of the Plaintiff, so that the Plaintiff was not permitting
128	the required 14 days to oppose or object to the motions.
129	
130	21. Indeed such a speedily approval of motions by the issuing of orders for
131	which there is no possible way for the Plaintiff to actually had gotten the
132	documents rendered the motions itself an ex parte motion, and the order an
133	ex parte order issued in secret, with the Plaintiff not being allowed to be
134	heard, or the be a party to the motions until after they were decided, with
	United States of America, ex rel v. Research Electronics, et al 11cv11458-NMG

	United States of America, ex rel v. Research Electronics, et al Notice of Appeal Page 8 of 10
54	
53	allowed to file an amended complaint.
52	a different District Judge and the case handle de novo and Plaintiff be
51	25. This matter should be self-recused from Judge Gorton and assigned to
50	
49	under Color of Authority.
48	and this is an error by the court, and a Deprivation of a Civil Rights
47	24. This court has violated the civil rights of Due Process of the Realtor,
46	
45	Realtor.
44	the Realtors Due Process, and thus the 14 th Amendment Rights of the
43	action, and the court has unlawfully subvert this right, it has subverted
42	23. As 31 USC 3730(b)(4)(B) states that the Re has a right to conduct the
41	Relate"
40	This was an error on the part of the court.
39	Mr. Atkinson, Judge Gorton should have self-recused early in the case
38	22. Given the demonstrated bias in this case and in related cases involving
37	
36	Realtor.
35	the court not permitting the required due process that is a right of the

lies within the District Court level, given the prohibited conduct. 27. It is in the best interest of justice, and diplomacy, and in the best interests of security of the United Stated of America that this matter be allowed to be continued as provided for by law. 28. Plaintiff Appeals that it appears that the District Court has mismanaged, and mishandled this case from short after the time it was filed, onto the current date, and that not justice, but merely the illusions of justice has been done to quietly dispose of the case with as little effort as possible, improperly, while the Government took that information provided by the Realtor and are pursuing the matter in another jurisdiction. 29. Plaintiff Appeals that it appears that the District Court has gravely erred, and the remedy to correct this many errors still lies within the District Court level, given the prohibited conduct. Respectfully submitted, Dated: May 24, 2012	155	26. This court has erred, and the remedy to correct this many errors still
27. It is in the best interest of justice, and diplomacy, and in the best interests of security of the United Stated of America that this matter be allowed to be continued as provided for by law. 28. Plaintiff Appeals that it appears that the District Court has mismanaged, and mishandled this case from short after the time it was filed, onto the current date, and that not justice, but merely the illusions of justice has been done to quietly dispose of the case with as little effort as possible, improperly, while the Government took that information provided by the Realtor and are pursuing the matter in another jurisdiction. 29. Plaintiff Appeals that it appears that the District Court has gravely erred, and the remedy to correct this many errors still lies within the District Court level, given the prohibited conduct. Respectfully submitted, Dated: May 24, 2012	156	lies within the District Court level, given the prohibited conduct.
interests of security of the United Stated of America that this matter be allowed to be continued as provided for by law. 28.Plaintiff Appeals that it appears that the District Court has mismanaged, and mishandled this case from short after the time it was filed, onto the current date, and that not justice, but merely the illusions of justice has been done to quietly dispose of the case with as little effort as possible, improperly, while the Government took that information provided by the Realtor and are pursuing the matter in another jurisdiction. 29.Plaintiff Appeals that it appears that the District Court has gravely erred, and the remedy to correct this many errors still lies within the District Court level, given the prohibited conduct. Respectfully submitted, Dated: May 24, 2012	157	
be allowed to be continued as provided for by law. 28. Plaintiff Appeals that it appears that the District Court has mismanaged, and mishandled this case from short after the time it was filed, onto the current date, and that not justice, but merely the illusions of justice has been done to quietly dispose of the case with as little effort as possible, improperly, while the Government took that information provided by the Realtor and are pursuing the matter in another jurisdiction. 29. Plaintiff Appeals that it appears that the District Court has gravely erred, and the remedy to correct this many errors still lies within the District Court level, given the prohibited conduct. Respectfully submitted, Dated: May 24, 2012	158	27.It is in the best interest of justice, and diplomacy, and in the best
28. Plaintiff Appeals that it appears that the District Court has mismanaged, and mishandled this case from short after the time it was filed, onto the current date, and that not justice, but merely the illusions of justice has been done to quietly dispose of the case with as little effort as possible, improperly, while the Government took that information provided by the Realtor and are pursuing the matter in another jurisdiction. 29. Plaintiff Appeals that it appears that the District Court has gravely erred, and the remedy to correct this many errors still lies within the District Court level, given the prohibited conduct. Respectfully submitted, Dated: May 24, 2012	159	interests of security of the United Stated of America that this matter
28.Plaintiff Appeals that it appears that the District Court has mismanaged, and mishandled this case from short after the time it was filed, onto the current date, and that not justice, but merely the illusions of justice has been done to quietly dispose of the case with as little effort as possible, improperly, while the Government took that information provided by the Realtor and are pursuing the matter in another jurisdiction. 29.Plaintiff Appeals that it appears that the District Court has gravely erred, and the remedy to correct this many errors still lies within the District Court level, given the prohibited conduct. Respectfully submitted, Dated: May 24, 2012	160	be allowed to be continued as provided for by law.
and mishandled this case from short after the time it was filed, onto the current date, and that not justice, but merely the illusions of justice has been done to quietly dispose of the case with as little effort as possible, improperly, while the Government took that information provided by the Realtor and are pursuing the matter in another jurisdiction. 29. Plaintiff Appeals that it appears that the District Court has gravely erred, and the remedy to correct this many errors still lies within the District Court level, given the prohibited conduct. Respectfully submitted, Dated: May 24, 2012	161	
current date, and that not justice, but merely the illusions of justice has been done to quietly dispose of the case with as little effort as possible, improperly, while the Government took that information provided by the Realtor and are pursuing the matter in another jurisdiction. 29.Plaintiff Appeals that it appears that the District Court has gravely erred, and the remedy to correct this many errors still lies within the District Court level, given the prohibited conduct. Respectfully submitted, Dated: May 24, 2012	162	28.Plaintiff Appeals that it appears that the District Court has mismanaged,
done to quietly dispose of the case with as little effort as possible, improperly, while the Government took that information provided by the Realtor and are pursuing the matter in another jurisdiction. 29.Plaintiff Appeals that it appears that the District Court has gravely erred, and the remedy to correct this many errors still lies within the District Court level, given the prohibited conduct. Respectfully submitted, Dated: May 24, 2012	163	and mishandled this case from short after the time it was filed, onto the
improperly, while the Government took that information provided by the Realtor and are pursuing the matter in another jurisdiction. 29. Plaintiff Appeals that it appears that the District Court has gravely erred, and the remedy to correct this many errors still lies within the District Court level, given the prohibited conduct. Respectfully submitted, Dated: May 24, 2012	164	current date, and that not justice, but merely the illusions of justice has been
Realtor and are pursuing the matter in another jurisdiction. 29. Plaintiff Appeals that it appears that the District Court has gravely erred, and the remedy to correct this many errors still lies within the District Court level, given the prohibited conduct. Respectfully submitted, Dated: May 24, 2012	165	done to quietly dispose of the case with as little effort as possible,
29.Plaintiff Appeals that it appears that the District Court has gravely erred, and the remedy to correct this many errors still lies within the District Court level, given the prohibited conduct. Respectfully submitted, Dated: May 24, 2012	166	improperly, while the Government took that information provided by the
29.Plaintiff Appeals that it appears that the District Court has gravely erred, and the remedy to correct this many errors still lies within the District Court level, given the prohibited conduct. Respectfully submitted, Dated: May 24, 2012	167	Realtor and are pursuing the matter in another jurisdiction.
and the remedy to correct this many errors still lies within the District Court level, given the prohibited conduct. Respectfully submitted, Dated: May 24, 2012	168	
Court level, given the prohibited conduct. Respectfully submitted, Dated: May 24, 2012	169	29. Plaintiff Appeals that it appears that the District Court has gravely erred,
172 173 Respectfully submitted, 174 Dated: May 24, 2012	170	and the remedy to correct this many errors still lies within the District
Respectfully submitted, Dated: May 24, 2012	171	Court level, given the prohibited conduct.
174 Dated: May 24, 2012	172	
	173	Respectfully submitted,
	174	

175	
176	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, EX REL.
177	JAMES M. ATKINSON, pro se
178	31R Broadway
179	Rockport, MA 01966
180	(978) 546-3803
181	
182	
183	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
184	
185	I hereby certify that this document filed though the ECF System will
186	be sent electronically to the registered participants as identified on the
187	Notice of Electronic Filing (NEF) (by way of the clerks terminal on
188	the CM/ECF system as a scanned document) and paper copies by U.S.
189	Mail will be sent to those indicated as non-registered participants this
190	25t h day of May, 2012.
191	25/2
192	
193	
194	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, <i>EX REL</i> .
195	JAMES M. ATKINSON, pro se
196	